arXiv:1204.4855v5 [math.RT] 7 Jan 2013

FUSION RULES OF VIRASORO VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

XIANZU LIN

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fujian Normal University,
Fuzhou, 350108, *China;*
Email: linxianzu@126.com

Abstract. In this paper we prove the fusion rules of Virasoro vertex operator algebras $L(c_{1,q},0)$ for all $q\geq 1$. Roughly speaking, we consider $L(c_{1,q},0)$ as the limit of $L(c_{n,nq-1},0)$, where $n\to\infty$, and the fusion rules of $L(c_{1,q},0)$ follow as the limits of the fusion rules of $L(c_{n,nq-1},0)$.

Keywords: Fusion rules, Virasoro vertex operator algebras,

2000 MR Subject Classification: 17B69

1. Introduction

Among classical representation theory (of compact group or semi-simple Lie algebra), the most important problems are,

(1) The classification problem: describe all the irreducible representations.
(2) The Clebsch-Gordon problem: given irreducible representations V and W, describe the decomposition, with multiplicities, of the representation $V\otimes W$.

For the representation theory of vertex operator algebras, the most important problem is also the classification of all the irreducible representations. The difference is that for two irreducible modules U and V over a vertex operator algebra A, we can not define the tensor module of U and V. Nevertheless, we still have the analogue of the Clebsch-Gordon problem via the notion of intertwining operators. In particular, for three irreducible modules U, V and W over a vertex operator algebra A, we can define the fusion

---

Date:

rule $\mathcal{N}_{U,V}^{W}$, the analogue of the Clebsch-Gordon coefficient. As in the classical representation theory, the second most important problem in representation theory of vertex operator algebras is to determine the fusion rules $\mathcal{N}_{U,V}^{W}$.

The Virasoro vertex operator algebras constitute one of the most important classes of vertex operator algebras. In [12] it was proved that the vertex operator algebras $L(c_{p,q},0)$ are rational, where $(p,q)=1$, $p,q>1$ and $c_{p,q}=13-6(\frac{q}{p}+\frac{p}{q})$. Furthermore, the fusion rules of $L(c_{p,q},0)$ were proved in [12] using the Frenkel-Zhu's formula (cf.[5]). In the case of $L(c_{1,q},0)$, we cannot prove the fusion rules as in [12], for Frenkel-Zhu's formula cannot be applied to $L(c_{1,q},0)$ which is non-rational. In [8] the fusion rules of $L(c_{1,1},0)$ were proved via two different methods.

In this paper we prove the fusion rules of $L(c_{1,q},0)$ for all $q\geq 1$. Roughly speaking, we consider $L(c_{1,q},0)$ as the limit of $L(c_{n,nq-1},0)$, where $n\to\infty$, and the fusion rules of $L(c_{1,q},0)$ follow as the limits of the fusion rules of $L(c_{n,nq-1},0)$.

In Section 2 we give some preliminary results about the representation theory of $L(c_{1,q},0)$. In Section 3, using the easy part of Frenkel-Zhu's formula, we get an upper bound for the fusion rules of $L(c_{1,q},0)$. In Section 4 we establish the fusion rules of $L(c_{1,q},0)$ by the limit method . Throughout this paper we assume the reader is familiar with the axiom theory vertex operator algebras and modules. For more information see[4, 9].

2. REPRESENTATION THEORY OF VIRASORO VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

In this section we give a short review of representation theory of Virasoro vertex operator algebras, details can be found in [2, 3, 6, 7, 9].

First let us introduce some notations. For $\mathbb{I}=(1^{r_1}2^{r_2}\cdots n^{r_n})\in\mathcal{P}_n$, set

$$e_{\mathbb{I}}=L_{-n}^{r_n}\cdots L_{-2}^{r_2}L_{-1}^{r_1}\in U(Vir^-)_{-n}.$$

Then, $\{e_{\mathbb{I}}\cdot v_{c,h}|\mathbb{I}\in\mathcal{P}_n\}$ forms a basis of the weight subspace $M(c,h)_{h+n}$. Throughout this paper we always adopt the following convention:

Convention 2.1. *We always fix a nonzero highest weight vector* $v_{c,h}$ *in the Verma module* $M(c,h)$ *for each* c,h*, and identify* $U(Vir^-)$ *with* $M(c,h)$ *by sending* $e_{\mathbb{I}}$ *to* $e_{\mathbb{I}}v_{c,h}$.

We recall the following proposition, which will be used in Section 4.

Proposition 2.2. *(cf.*[11]*)Set* $n = \alpha\beta$, $c(t) = 13 - 6t - 6t^{-1}$ *and* $h = h_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 - 1)t - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\beta - 1) + \frac{1}{4}(\beta^2 - 1)t^{-1}$ *for* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$*, then there exists*

$$S_n = \sum_{\mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{P}_n} f_{\mathbb{I}}(c, h)e_{\mathbb{I}} \in U(Vir^-)_{-n}$$

such that $S_n v_{c,h} \in M(c, h)_{h+n}$ *is a singular vector, where* $f_{\mathbb{I}}(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ *and* $f_{\mathbb{I}_0}(x, y) = 1$ *for* $\mathbb{I}_0 = (1^n)$*.*

Let $L(c_{1,q}, 0) = M(c_{1,q}, 0)/\langle L(-1)\mathbf{1}\rangle$ be the simple vertex operator algebra associated to Virasoro algebra, then any restricted module over the Virasoro algebra with central charge $c_{1,q}$ can be considered naturally as a module over the vertex operator algebra $L(c_{1,q}, 0)$ (cf.[9]). By the structure theory of Verma modules (cf.[6]), we know that $M(c_{1,q}, h)$ is irreducible if and only if $h \neq h_{i,s} = \frac{(iq-s)^2-(q-1)^2}{4q}$ for any $i > 0$, $0 < s \leq q$. When $h = h_{i,s}$, $M(c_{1,q}, h)$ has a singular vector of weight $h_{i,s} + is$ which generates the maximal proper submodule of $M(c_{1,q}, h)$; denote by $L(c_{1,q}, h)$ the irreducible quotient module.

Now we introduce the definition of intertwining operator and fusion rule for a triple of modules of vertex operator algebra (cf.[4]).

Definition 2.3. *Let* W_1*,* W_2 *and* W_3 *be three modules over a vertex operator algebra* V*, a linear map* $W_1 \otimes W_2 \to W_3\{x\}$ *or equivalently,*

$$W_1 \to (Hom(W_2, W_3))\{x\}$$

$$w \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(w, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} w_n x^{-n-1} \quad (\text{where } w_n \in Hom(W_2, W_3))$$

is called an intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{array}{c} W_3 \\ W_1\ W_2 \end{array} \right)$ *if it satisfies:*

1 *(The truncation property)For any* $w_1 \in W_1$*,* $w_2 \in W_2$*,* $(w_1)_n w_2 = 0$ *for* n *sufficiently large;*

2 *(The* L_{-1}*-derivative formula)For any* $w \in W_1$*,*

$$\mathcal{Y}(L_{-1}w, x) = \frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{Y}(w, x);$$

3 *(The Jacobi identity) For any* $v \in V$ *and* $w_1 \in W_1$*,*

$$x_0^{-1}\delta(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0})Y(v, x_1)\mathcal{Y}(w_1, x_2)$$

$$-x_0^{-1}\delta(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0})\mathcal{Y}(w_1, x_2)Y(v, x_1)$$

$$= x_2^{-1}\delta(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2})\mathcal{Y}(Y(v, x_0)w_1, x_2).$$

Intertwining operators have the following basic property (cf.[4]):

Proposition 2.4. *Let* W_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ *be lowest weight* V*-modules with lowest weights* h_i*, thus* $W_i = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} W_i(n)$*, where* $W_i(n)$ *is the* L_0*-eigenspace of* W *with eigenvalue* $n + h_i$ *(see* [10] *for definition and notations about lowest weight* V*-modules). Let* $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, x)$ *be an intertwining operator of type* $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} & W_3 & \\ W_1 & & W_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$*. then* $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, x)$ *has the following form:*

$$\mathcal{Y}(w, x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} w(n)x^{-n-1}x^{-h_1-h_2+h_3},$$

such that for any $w \in W_1(k)$

$$w(n)W_2(m) \subset W_3(m + k - n - 1)$$

Set $I\left(\begin{smallmatrix} & W_3 & \\ W_1 & & W_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to be the vector space of all intertwining operators of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} & W_3 & \\ W_1 & & W_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, its dimension $\mathcal{N}^{W_k}_{W_i,W_j}$ is called the fusion rule of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} & W_3 & \\ W_1 & & W_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

In the next section we need the symmetry property of fusion rules, i.e., $\mathcal{N}^{W_3}_{W_1,W_2} = \mathcal{N}^{W_3}_{W_2,W_1}$ (cf.[4]). The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 2.5.

$$\mathcal{N}^{L(c_{1,q},h_{i_3,s_3})}_{L(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1}),L(c_{1,q},h_{i_2,s_2})} = \begin{cases} 1, & \textit{if there exists } i \in A_{i_1,i_2}, \\ & s \in A_{s_1,s_2} \textit{ such that } h_{i_3,s_3} = h_{i,s}, \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where $i_n > 0$*,* $0 < s_n \leq q$ *(n=1,2,3) and* $A_{m,n} = \{m + n - 1, m + n - 3, \cdots, |m - n| + 1\}$*.*

In the case $q = 1$, our result coincides with the fusion rules proved by Milas in [8].

Let $i_1 = 3$, $s_1 = 1$, $i_2 = 2n - 1$ and $s_2 = i$, then the corresponding fusion rules can be written formally as

$$\begin{aligned} &L(c_{1,q}, 2q - 1) \otimes L(c_{1,q}, h_{2n+1,i}) \\ &= L(c_{1,q}, h_{2n-1,i}) \oplus L(c_{1,q}, h_{2n+1,i}) \oplus L(c_{1,q}, h_{2n+3,i}). \end{aligned}$$

It is just the Formula 3.18 in [1].

3. Frenkel-Zhu's formula

Recall that to a vertex operator algebra V, we can associate the Zhu's algebra $A(V)$, and for each lowest weight V-module M, the lowest weight space $M(0)$ has a natural structure of $A(V)$-module. More generally, for each V-module M, define $O(M) \subset M$ to be the linear span of elements of type

$$Res_z(Y(a,z)\frac{(1+z)^{deg\ a}}{z^2}m)$$

where $a \in V$ and $m \in M$, and let $A(M)$ be the quotient space $M/O(M)$, then $A(M)$ has a natural structure of an $A(V)$-bimodule. We recall the following useful result (cf.[5]).

Proposition 3.1. *For each submodule M_1 of M, $A(M_1)$ is a submodule of the $A(V)$-bimodule $A(M)$, and the quotient $A(M)/A(M_1)$ is isomorphic to the bimodule $A(M/M_1)$.*

In the case of Virasoro vertex operator algebras and Verma modules, we have the following results (cf.[5, 6]).

Proposition 3.2. *Set $V_c := M(c,0)/\langle L_{-1}\mathbf{1}\rangle$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ to be the subalgebra of Vir^- spanned by*

$$L_{-n-2} + 2L_{-n-1} + L_{-n},$$

for $n \geq 1$. Then $O(V_c) = \mathcal{L}.V_c$ and $A(V_c) \cong H_0(\mathcal{L}, V_c)$. In the case of $M(c,h)$ (resp. the irreducible quotient $L(c,h)$), we also have

$$O(M(c,h)) = \mathcal{L}.M(c,h)$$

$$(resp. O(L(c,h)) = \mathcal{L}.L(c,h))$$

and

$$A(M(c,h)) \cong H_0(\mathcal{L}, M(c,h)).$$

$$(resp. A(L(c,h)) \cong H_0(\mathcal{L}, L(c,h))).$$

Proposition 3.3. *We have an isomorphism of associative algebra:*

$$A(V_c) \cong \mathbb{C}[x]; \quad [\omega]^n \mapsto x^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

For Verma module $M(c,h)$, the $A(V_c)$-bimodule $A(M(c,h))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[x,y]$, where the highest weight vector $v_{c,h}$ represents $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$, and the left and the right actions of $A(V_c)$ are given by

$$x \cdot f(x,y) = xf(x,y),$$

$$f(x,y) \cdot x = yf(x,y),$$

for any $f(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$.

Proposition 3.4. *When we represent $A(V_c)$ (resp. $A(M(c,h))$) by elements of V_c (resp. $M(c,h)$), the left and right actions of $A(V_c)$ on $A(M(c,h))$ are given by*

$$[\omega][v] = [(L_{-2} + 2L_{-1} + L_0)v],$$
$$[v][\omega] = [(L_{-2} + L_{-1})v],$$

for any $v \in M(c,h)$, where $\omega = L_{-2}\mathbf{1}$.

In the following W_i $(i = 1,2,3)$ will always be lowest weight V-modules with lowest weights h_i. Let $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot,x)$ be an intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} & W_3 & \\ W_1 & & W_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. By Proposition 2.4, we can define a linear map $o_{\mathcal{Y}}$ from $W_1 \otimes W_2(0)$ to $W_3(0)$ by sending $w_1 \otimes w_2$ $(w_1 \in W_1(n),\ w_2 \in W_2(0))$ to $w_1(n-1)w_2$. It can be proved that $w_1(n-1)w_2 = 0$ for $w_1 \in O(W_1)$, and $o_{\mathcal{Y}}$ induces an $A(V)$-homomorphism

$$\pi(\mathcal{Y}) : A(W_1) \otimes_{A(V)} W_2(0) \to W_3(0).$$

Thus we get a linear map:

$$\pi : I\left(\begin{smallmatrix} & W_3 & \\ W_1 & & W_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \to Hom_{A(V)}(A(W_1) \otimes_{A(V)} W_2(0), W_3(0))$$

The Frenkel-Zhu's formula (cf.[5]) states that π is an isomorphism if W_i $(i = 1,2,3)$ are irreducible modules; it was pointed out in [10] that this formula only holds for rational vertex operator algebras, and for more general vertex operator algebras, we have the following proposition (cf.[10]).

Proposition 3.5. *If W_3 is irreducible, then*

$$\pi : I\left(\begin{smallmatrix} & W_3 & \\ W_1 & & W_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \to Hom_{A(V)}(A(W_1) \otimes_{A(V)} W_2(0), W_3(0))$$

is injective.

Now we follow the treatment in §9.3 of [6]. Firstly, we consider the three $L(c_{1,q},0)$-modules $L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_n,s_n})$, where $i_n > 0$, $0 < s_n \leq q$ (n=1,2,3). We want to compute the dimension of

$$Hom_{A(L(c_{1,q},0))}(A(L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})) \otimes_{A(L(c_{1,q},0))} L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_2,s_2})(0), L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})(0)).$$

By Proposition 3.2, its dual space is isomorphic to the simultaneous eigenspace of the left and right actions of $[\omega]$ on $H_0(\mathcal{L}, L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1}))^* = H^0(\mathcal{L}, L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})^*)$ with the eigenvalues $-h_{i_3,s_3}$ and $-h_{i_2,s_2}$ respectively, Denote this eigenspace by $H^0(\mathcal{L}, L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})^*)^{(-h_{i_3,s_3}, -h_{i_2,s_2})}$ then the dual of the surjection

$$M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1}) \twoheadrightarrow L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$$

induces an injection

$$i : H^0(\mathcal{L}, L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})^*)^{(-h_{i_3,s_3},-h_{i_2,s_2})} \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}, M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})^*)^{(-h_{i_3,s_3},-h_{i_2,s_2})}.$$

The argument in §9.3 of [6] shows that $H^0(\mathcal{L}, M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})^*)^{(-h_{i_3,s_3},-h_{i_2,s_2})}$ is one-dimensional, and i is an isomorphism if and only if

$$P_{i_1,s_1}(-h_{i_2,s_2}, -h_{i_3,s_3} + h_{i_1,s_1}, q) = 0 \tag{1}$$

where $P_{\alpha,\beta}(a, b; \xi) \in \mathbb{C}[a, b, \xi, \xi^{-1}]$ satisfies

$$P_{\alpha,\beta}(a, b; \xi)^2 = \prod_{k=0}^{\alpha-1}\prod_{l=0}^{\beta-1} Q^{\alpha,\beta}_{k,l}(a, b; \xi),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q^{\alpha,\beta}_{k,l}(a, b; \xi)\\
&= [(b-a) - (k\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} - l\xi^{-\frac{1}{2}})\{(\alpha-k)\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\beta-l)\xi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}]\\
&\times[(b-a) - \{(k+1)\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} - (l+1)\xi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}\{(\alpha-k-1)\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\beta-l-1)\xi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}]\\
&+\{(\alpha-2k-1)\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\beta-l-1)\xi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}^2 a.
\end{aligned}$$

Direct computation shows that Equation (1) is equivalent to the equation

$$\prod_{k=0}^{i_1-1}\prod_{l=0}^{s_1-1}(h_{i_3,s_3} - h_{i_1+i_2-2k-1,s_1+s_2-2l-1})(h_{i_3,s_3} - h_{-i_1+i_2+2k+1,-s_1+s_2+2l+1}) = 0$$

Now combining the symmetry property of fusion rules and Proposition 3.5 yields $\mathcal{N}^{L(c_{1,q},h_{i_3,s_3})}_{L(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1}),L(c_{1,q},h_{i_2,s_2})} \le 1$, and $\mathcal{N}^{L(c_{1,q},h_{i_3,s_3})}_{L(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1}),L(c_{1,q},h_{i_2,s_2})} = 1$ only if the following two equations hold:

$$\prod_{k=0}^{i_1-1}\prod_{l=0}^{s_1-1}(h_{i_3,s_3} - h_{i_1+i_2-2k-1,s_1+s_2-2l-1})(h_{i_3,s_3} - h_{-i_1+i_2+2k+1,-s_1+s_2+2l+1}) = 0;$$

$$\prod_{k=0}^{i_2-1}\prod_{l=0}^{s_2-1}(h_{i_3,s_3} - h_{i_1+i_2-2k-1,s_1+s_2-2l-1})(h_{i_3,s_3} - h_{i_1-i_2+2k+1,s_1-s_2+2l+1}) = 0.$$

For each $m, n > 0$, set $A_{m,n} = \{m+n-1, m+n-3, \cdots, |m-n|+1\}$. Then one checks that these two equations are equivalent to the existences of $i \in A_{i_1,i_2}$, $s \in A_{s_1,s_2}$ such that $h_{i_3,s_3} = h_{i,s}$.

To sum up, $\mathcal{N}^{L(c_{1,q},h_{i_3,s_3})}_{L(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1}),L(c_{1,q},h_{i_2,s_2})} \le 1$ if there exists $i \in A_{i_1,i_2}$, $s \in A_{s_1,s_2}$ such that $h_{i_3,s_3} = h_{i,s}$, and $\mathcal{N}^{L(c_{1,q},h_{i_3,s_3})}_{L(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1}),L(c_{1,q},h_{i_2,s_2})} = 0$ otherwise.

4. Construction of intertwining operators

In this section we fix three $L(c_{1,q},0)$-modules $L(c_{1,q},h_{i_n,s_n})$ $(n=1,2,3)$, where $i_n>0$, $0<s_n\le q$, and assume that there exists $i\in A_{i_1,i_2}$, $s\in A_{s_1,s_2}$, satisfying $h_{i_3,s_3}=h_{i,s}$. The purpose of this section is to construct a nonzero intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{array}{c} L(c_{1,q},h_{i_3,s_3}) \\ L(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1})\ L(c_{1,q},h_{i_2,s_2})\end{array}\right)$. Set $c_k=c_{k,kq-1}$, $h_n^k=\frac{(i_n(kq-1)-s_nk)^2-(kq-1-k)^2}{4k(kq-1)}$. It can be deduced from Proposition 2.2 that the Verma module $M(c_k,h_n^k)$ has two singular vectors v_{i_n,s_n}, $v_{k-i_n,kq-1-s_n}$, of levels i_ns_n and $(k-i_n)(kq-1-s_n)$ respectively. Moreover, the maximal submodule of $M(c_k,h_n^k)$ is generated by v_{i_n,s_n} and $v_{k-i_n,kq-1-s_n}$; denote by $L(c_k,h_n^k)$ the irreducible quotient. When k is large enough, by the fusion rules of $L(c_k,0)$ there exist a nontrivial intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}_k(\cdot,x)$ of type $\left(\begin{array}{c} L(c_k,h_3^k) \\ L(c_k,h_1^k)\ L(c_k,h_2^k)\end{array}\right)$. Our method is to get the desired intertwining operator from the limit of $\mathcal{Y}_k(\cdot,x)$ as k approaches infinity. Hence from now on we always assume that k is large enough when needed. We say that a sequence of monomials $(a_kx^{n_k})$ converges to the limit ax^n

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} a_kx^{n_k}=ax^n$$

if (a_k) and (n_k) converges to the limits a and n respectively. The following proposition is crucial for our construction.

Proposition 4.1. *As a left $A(L(c_k,0))$-module, $A(L(c_k,h_n^k))$ is generated by $[v_{c_k,h_n^k}],[L_{-1}v_{c_k,h_n^k}],\cdots,[L_{-1}^{i_ns_n-1}v_{c_k,h_n^k}]$.*

Proof. Combining Proposition 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 implies the formula $[L_{-n}v]=(-1)^n(ny-x+wt(v))[v]$ in $A(M(c_k,h_n^k))$ for each homogenous $v\in L(c_k,h_n^k)$ (recall the identification $A(M(c_k,h_n^k))=\mathbb{C}[x,y]$ in Proposition 3.3). From this formula and Proposition 2.2 we have

$$[L_{-1}^mv_{c_k,h_n^k}]=(x-y)^m+lower\ terms$$

and

$$[S_{i_ns_n}v_{c_k,h_n^k}]=[v_{i_n,s_n}]=(x-y)^{i_ns_n}+lower\ terms$$

in $A(M(c_k,h_n^k))\cong\mathbb{C}[x,y]$. Now by Proposition 3.1, $[S_{i_ns_n}v_{c_k,h_n^k}]$ lies in the kernel of the surjective morphism $A(M(c_k,h_n^k))\twoheadrightarrow A(L(c_k,h_n^k))$, hence $A(L(c_k,h_n^k))$ can be generated, as a left $A(L(c_k,0))$-module by

$$[v_{c_k,h_n^k}],[L_{-1}v_{c_k,h_n^k}],\cdots,[L_{-1}^{i_ns_n-1}v_{c_k,h_n^k}]$$

. □

Now we are well prepared for the construction. It suffices to construct a bilinear pair $\lceil \cdot, \cdot \rfloor$ (with value in $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$) between $(L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3}))^*$ and $L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1}) \otimes L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_2,s_2})$ that satisfies the corresponding properties. The construction is divided into several steps.

Step 1. Let $v' \in L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})(0)^* = M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})(0)^*$ be defined by $v'(v_{c_{1,q},h_{i_3,s_3}}) = 1$. By Convention 2.1, we also consider v' as an element of $M(c_k, h_3^k)(0)^*$ in the following. For each homogenous $v_1 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$ and $v_2 = v_{c_{1,q},h_{i_n,s_n}}$, $\lceil v', v_1 \otimes v_2 \rfloor$ is defined as follows:

Set $a_1^k = v_{c_k,h_1^k}, a_2^k = L_{-1}v_{c_k,h_1^k}, \cdots, a_{i_1s_1}^k = L_{-1}^{i_ns_n-1}v_{c_k,h_1^k}$ in $M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$. Consider the i_1s_1 sequences

$$\begin{gathered}
\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(a_1^1, x)v_{c_1,h_2^1}\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(a_1^2, x)v_{c_2,h_2^2}\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(a_1^k, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle, \cdots \\
\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(a_2^1, x)v_{c_1,h_2^1}\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(a_2^2, x)v_{c_2,h_2^2}\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(a_2^k, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle, \cdots \\
\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\
\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(a_{i_1s_1}^1, x)v_{c_1,h_2^1}\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(a_{i_1s_1}^2, x)v_{c_2,h_2^2}\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(a_{i_1s_1}^k, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle, \cdots
\end{gathered}$$

We know that for each k, there is some i such that $\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(a_i^k, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle \neq 0$, otherwise, by Proposition 3.5 and 4.1, $\mathcal{Y}_k$ will be zero. As $\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(a_i^k, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle$ is the derivation of $\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(a_{i+1}^k, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle$, hence $\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(a_i^k, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle \neq 0$ for each i. Thus after multiplying each $\mathcal{Y}_k$ by a suitable nonzero constant, these i_1s_1 sequences converge to monomials $f_1(x), f_2(x), \cdots, f_{i_1s_1}(x) \in \mathbb{C}\{x\}$ respectively and $f_1(x) \neq 0$. Now assume that the sequence

$$\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(v, x)v_{c_1,h_2^1}\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(v, x)v_{c_2,h_2^2}\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(v, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle, \cdots$$

converges for a homogeneous $v \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$, where we also consider v as element of $M(c_k, h_n^k)$ by Convention 2.1. Then the sequences

$$\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(L_0v, x)v_{c_1,h_2^1}\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(L_0v, x)v_{c_2,h_2^2}\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(L_0v, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle, \cdots$$

and

$$\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(L_{-1}v, x)v_{c_1,h_2^1}\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(L_{-1}v, x)v_{c_2,h_2^2}\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(L_{-1}v, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle, \cdots$$

also converge. As the left action of $A(V_c)$ on $A(M(c, h))$ is given by

$$[\omega][v] = [(L_{-2} + 2L_{-1} + L_0)v],$$

by the construction of the linear map π in §3, we see that

$$\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(L_{-2}v, x)v_{c_1,h_2^1}\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(L_{-2}v, x)v_{c_2,h_2^2}\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(L_{-2}v, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle, \cdots$$

also converges. By induction and the equality $[L_{-n}, L_{-1}] = (1-n)L_{-n-1}$,

$$\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(L_{-n}v, x)v_{c_1,h_2^1}\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(L_{-n}v, x)v_{c_2,h_2^2}\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(L_{-n}v, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle, \cdots$$

also converges for each $n > 0$. As $M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$ is generated by the highest weight vector $v_{c,h}$, we conclude by induction that for each homogeneous $v_1 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$, the sequence

$$\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(v_1, x)v_{c_1,h_2^1}\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(v_1, x)v_{c_2,h_2^2}\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(v_1, x)v_{c_k,h_2^k}\rangle, \cdots$$

converges; let $\lceil v', v_1 \otimes v_2 \rfloor$ be the limit and the Step 1 is complete.

Step 2. For any $v_1 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$ and $v_2 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_2,s_2})$ we want to define $\lceil v', v_1 \otimes v_2 \rfloor$ as the limit of the sequence

$$\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(v_1, x)v_2\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(v_1, x)v_2\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(v_1, x)v_2\rangle, \cdots \tag{2}$$

Thus we need to show that the limit of the sequence (3) exists for each $v_1 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$ and $v_2 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_2,s_2})$. The Step 1 shows that when $v_2 = v_{c_{1,q},h_{i_2,s_2}}$, the limit exists. Thus by induction, it suffices to prove that if the limit of the sequence (3) exists for any $v_1 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$ and a fixed $v_2 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_2,s_2})$, then the limit of the sequence

$$\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_1(v_1, x)L_nv_2\rangle, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_2(v_1, x)L_nv_2\rangle, \cdots, \langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(v_1, x)L_nv_2\rangle, \cdots \tag{3}$$

also exists for any $v_1 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$. But this follows directly from the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(v_1, x)L_nv_2'\rangle &= \langle v', L_n\mathcal{Y}_k(v_1, x)v_2'\rangle \\ &-\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{n+1}{i}x^{n+1-i}\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(L_{i-1}v_1, x)v_2'\rangle \\ &= -\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{n+1}{i}x^{n+1-i}\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_k(L_{i-1}v_1, x)v_2'\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Now we conclude that the limit of the sequence (3) exists for any $v_2 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$. Set

$$\lceil v', v_1 \otimes v_2 \rfloor = \lim_{k\to\infty}\langle v', \mathcal{Y}_{t_k}(v_1, x)v_2\rangle,$$

and the Step 2 is complete.

Step 3. Now we want to define $\lceil v_3', v_1 \otimes v_2 \rfloor$ for any $v_1 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1})$, $v_2 \in M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_2,s_2})$ and $v_3' \in L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})^* \subset M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})^*$.

Lemma 4.2. *For any* $\mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $\langle e_{\mathbb{I}}v', \mathcal{Y}_k(v_1, x)v_2\rangle$ *converges to a finite limit as* k *approaches infinity.*

Proof. By induction on the length of $\mathbb{I}$, this lemma follows directly from the formula

$$\langle L_nw', w\rangle = \langle w', L_{-n}w\rangle$$

for any $w' \in L(c_k, h_3^k)^*$ and $w \in L(c_k, h_3^k)$. □

By Convention 2.1, we can identify $M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})^*$ with $M(c_k, h_3^k)^*$. Under this identification, $L(c_k, h_3^k)^*(n)$ converges to $L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})^*(n)$ as k approaches infinity. As $L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})$ is irreducible, $L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})^*$ is generated by $L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})^*(0) = \mathbb{C}v'$ as a module over Vir^-, thus we can choose a subset $\{\mathbb{I}_1, \cdots, \mathbb{I}_s\}$ of $\mathcal{P}_n$ such that $e_{\mathbb{I}_1}v', \cdots, e_{\mathbb{I}_s}v'$ form a basis of $L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})^*(n)$. Then it is easy to see that $e_{\mathbb{I}_1}v', \cdots, e_{\mathbb{I}_s}v'$, as elements of $M(c_k, h_3^k)^*$, form a basis of $L(c_{t_k}, h_3^k)^*(n)$, and converge to $e_{\mathbb{I}_1}v', \cdots, e_{\mathbb{I}_s}v'$ respectively in $M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})^*$ as k approaches infinity.

Now for any homogeneous $v_3' \in L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3})^*(n)$ we can choose a $v_{3,k}' \in L(c_k, h_3^k)^*(n)$, such that the sequence

$$\cdots v_{3,k}', v_{3,k+1}', v_{3,k+2}' \cdots$$

converges to v_3'. If we write

$$v_3' = a_1 e_{\mathbb{I}_1}v' + \cdots + a_s e_{\mathbb{I}_s}v',$$

and

$$v_{3,k}' = a_{1,k} e_{\mathbb{I}_1}v' + \cdots + a_{s,k} e_{\mathbb{I}_s}v',$$

then, for each i the sequence

$$\cdots a_{i,k}, a_{i,k+1}, a_{i,k+2} \cdots$$

converges to a_i. By Lemma 4.2 we can set

$$\lceil v_3', v_1 \otimes v_2 \rfloor = \lim_{k\to\infty} \langle v_{3,k}', \mathcal{Y}_k(v_1, x)v_2 \rangle,$$

and it is easy to see that this setting is independent of the choice of the sequence

$$\cdots v_{3,k}', v_{3,k+1}', v_{3,k+2}' \cdots$$

Step 4. Now we check that the pairing $\lceil \cdot, \cdot \rfloor$ induces an intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{array}{c} L(c_{1,q}, h_{i_3,s_3}) \\ M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_1,s_1}) \; M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_2,s_2}) \end{array} \right)$.

It suffices to verify the L_{-1}-derivative formula

$$\frac{d}{dx}\lceil v_3', v_1 \otimes v_2 \rfloor = \lceil v_3', L_{-1}v_1 \otimes v_2 \rfloor$$

and the Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^{-1}\delta(\frac{x_1-x}{x_0})\lceil Y(e^{x_1L_1}(-z^{-2})^{L_0}v, x_1^{-1})v_3', v_1\otimes v_2\rfloor \\ -x_0^{-1}\delta(\frac{x-x_1}{-x_0})\lceil v_3', v_1\otimes Y(v,x_1)v_2\rfloor \\ = x^{-1}\delta(\frac{x_1-x_0}{x})\lceil v_3', Y(v,x_0)v_1\otimes v_2\rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

where $v\in L(c_{1,q},0)$ (this form of Jacobi identity follows from the graded dual module structure of $L(c_{1,q},h_{i_3,s_3})^*$ defined in §5.2 of [4]), and the truncation property follows as a consequence.

The L_{-1}-derivative formula follows directly from our definition of $\lceil\cdot,\cdot\rfloor$ and the fact that the derivation $\frac{d}{dx}$ commutes with the limiting operation. As we have identified $M(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1})$ (resp. $M(c_{1,q},h_{i_2,s_2})$) with $M(c_k,h_1^k)$ (resp. $M(c_k,h_2^k)$), we can also identify $L(c_{1,q},0)$ with $M(c_k,0)/\langle L(-1)\mathbf{1}\rangle$ accordingly. Then, it is easy to see that the coefficients of $Y(v,x_0)v_1$ (resp. $Y(v,x_1)v_2$), as elements of $M(c_k,h_1^k)$ (resp. $M(c_k,h_2^k)$), converge to the corresponding coefficients of $Y(v,x_0)v_1$ (resp. $Y(v,x_1)v_2$), as elements of $M(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1})$ (resp. $M(c_{1,q},h_{i_2,s_2})$). If we choose, as in Step 3, a $v_{3,k}'\in L(c_k,h_3^k)^*$ for each k, such that the sequence

$$\cdots v_{3,k}', v_{3,k+1}', v_{3,k+2}'\cdots$$

converges to v_3', then the coefficients of $Y(e^{x_1L_1}(-z^{-2})^{L_0}v, x_1^{-1})v_{3,k}'$ converge to the corresponding coefficients of $Y(e^{x_1L_1}(-z^{-2})^{L_0}v, x_1^{-1})v_3'$. Now the Jacobi identity of $\lceil\cdot,\cdot\rfloor$ follows from the Jacobi identities of $\mathcal{Y}_k$ and Step 4 is complete.

Step 5. Show that $\lceil v_3', v_1\otimes v_2\rfloor = 0$ if v_1 lies in the maximal proper submodule $M'(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1})$ of $M(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1})$. Let $M'(c_k,h_1^k)$ be the maximal submodule of $M(c_k,h_1^k)$, then by Proposition 2.2 $M'(c_k,h_1^k)(n)$ converges to $M'(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1})(n)$ for each n as k approaches infinity. Thus there exists a $v_{1,k}\in M'(c_k,h_1^k)$ for each k, such that the sequence

$$\cdots v_{1,k}, v_{1,k+1}, v_{1,k+2}\cdots$$

converges to v_1. Using the argument in Step 3 we see that

$$\lceil v_3', v_1\otimes v_2\rfloor = \lim_{k\to\infty}\langle v_{3,k}', \mathcal{Y}_k(v_{1,k},x)v_2\rangle$$

where

$$\cdots v_{3,k}', v_{3,k+1}', v_{3,k+2}'\cdots$$

is the sequence converging to v_3' in Step 3. Since $\mathcal{Y}_k(\cdot,x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{array}{c} L(c_k,h_3^k) \\ L(c_k,h_1^k)\ L(c_k,h_2^k) \end{array}\right)$, $\langle v_{3,k}', \mathcal{Y}_k(v_{1,k},x)v_2\rangle = 0$, and Step 5 is complete

Step 6. Show that $\lceil v_3', v_1\otimes v_2\rfloor = 0$ if v_2 lies in the maximal proper submodule $M'(c_{1,q}, h_{i_2,s_2})$ of $M(c_{1,q}, h_{i_2,s_2})$. It suffices to repeat the argument in Step 5 and we omit the details.

From the above construction we see that the pairing $\lceil\cdot,\cdot\rfloor$ induces a nonzero intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot,x)$ of type $\left(\begin{array}{c} L(c_{1,q},h_{i_3,s_3}) \\ L(c_{1,q},h_{i_1,s_1})\ L(c_{1,q},h_{i_2,s_2}) \end{array}\right)$ such that $\lceil v_3', v_1\otimes v_2\rfloor = \langle v_3', \mathcal{Y}(v_1,x)v_2\rangle$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his deep gratitude to A. Milas for valuable comments about this paper.

References

[1] D. Adamovic; A. Milas, *On the triplet vertex algebra $W(p)$*, Advances in Math. **217** (2008) 2664-2699.

[2] B.L. Feigin; D.B. Fuks, *Representation of the Virasoro algebra*, in: Representations of Infinite Dimensional Lie Groups and Lie Algebras, Gordon and Breach, 1989.

[3] B.L. Feigin; D.B. Fuks, *Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra*, in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1060, 1984, pp. 230-245.

[4] I. Frenkel; Y.-Z. Huang; J. Lepowsky, *On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1993).

[5] I.B. Frenkel; Y. Zhu, *Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras*, Duke Math. J. **66** (1992) 123-168.

[6] Kenji Iohara; Yoshiyuki Kog, *Representation theory of the Virasoro algebra*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag (2011).

[7] V. Kac; A. Raina, *Bombay Lectures on Highest Weight Representations of Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*, in: Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., Vol. 2, World Scientific, Singapore, 1987.

[8] A. Milas, *Fusion rings for degenerate minimal models*, J. of Algebra **254** (2002), 300-335.

[9] J. Lepowsky; H. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003

[10] H. Li, *Determining fusion rules by $A(V)$-modules and bimodules*, J. of Algebra **212** (1999), 515-556.

[11] A. Rocha-Caridi; N. R. Wallach, *Charachters of irreducible representations of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the circle*, Invent. Math. **72**, (1987), 57-75.

[12] W.-Q. Wang, *Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **7** (1993), 197-211.